WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	v.	O/A	DER OF DETERMINING TRIAL
	Bienvenido Bustillos-Garcia	Case Number	: <u>11-6008M</u>
present and v			ng was held on January 24, 2011. Defendant was evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the
I find by a pre	Feponderance of the evidence that:	INDINGS OF FACT	
a ≥, a p. c	The defendant is not a citizen of the Ur	nited States or lawfully a	dmitted for permanent residence.
	The defendant, at the time of the charg	•	·
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
	The defendant has a prior criminal histo	ory.	
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicar substantial family ties to Mexico.	nt but has no substantia	al ties in Arizona or in the United States and has
	There is a record of prior failure to appe	ear in court as ordered.	
	The defendant attempted to evade law	enforcement contact by	fleeing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a maximum of		years imprisonment.
at the time of	the hearing in this matter, except as noted CO	d in the record. NCLUSIONS OF LAW	Services Agency which were reviewed by the Cour
1. 2.	DIRECTION	ns will reasonably assu IS REGARDING DETE	
a corrections appeal. The of the United	facility separate, to the extent practicable, f defendant shall be afforded a reasonable o States or on request of an attorney for the the United States Marshal for the purpose	rom persons awaiting or pportunity for private co Government, the persor	
IT IS deliver a copy Court.	ORDERED that should an appeal of this d	etention order be filed w	ith the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to st one day prior to the hearing set before the District
IT IS Services suff	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a iciently in advance of the hearing before to be potential third party custodian.	a third party is to be cons he District Court to allo	sidered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria w Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and
DAT	ΓED this 25 th day of January, 201	1.	
	•		
		10	
	Un	David K. Duncan ited States Magistrate	
		2	_